JOHN L. BURRIS, ESQ. CSB#69888 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS Airport Corporate Centre 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, California 94621-1939

Tel: (510) 839-5200 Fax: (510) 839-3882

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

E/M: John.Burris@JohnBurrisLaw.com

Attorneys for plaintiff KENITRA RAE NEWMAN



ADA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

008-0031

KENITRA RAE NEWMAN,

Plaintiff,

VS

CIVIL NO.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
(CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATION:
BATTERY BY POLICE OFFICER)

CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal corporation; ERICA MCGLASTON, as a CITY OF OAKLAND police officer and individually; and DOES 1 - 25, inclusive,

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff KENITRA RAE NEWMAN who is suing the CITY OF OAKLAND, and (former) Oakland police officer ERICA MCGLASTON, for violation of her civil rights. MCGLASTON, while on duty and in uniform, stalked NEWMAN and beat her, both with her fists and her police baton, without provocation, after NEWMAN terminated their friendship. The incident was investigated and MCGLASTON was terminated for her conduct and referred to the Alameda County District Attorney. The incident took place on

July 14, 2007 in Oakland, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The Court has jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and 28 U.S.C. § 1343(3) (civil rights). Venue lies in the Northern District of California, the judicial district in which the claim arose, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Plaintiff KENITRA RAE NEWMAN ("NEWMAN") at all times

- mentioned in this Complaint, and still is, a citizen of the United States, a resident of Alameda County and of full age.

 4. Defendant CITY OF OAKLAND ("CITY") is a public entity, duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. Defendant CITY OF OAKLAND includes the Oakland
- Police Department. At all times material to this Complaint, the OAKLAND Police Department was supervised, controlled and staffed by defendant CITY OF OAKLAND, its officers, agents and employees. By contract and statute, defendant CITY OF OAKLAND
- is required to indemnify its law enforcement officers for acts
- undertaken in their official capacity.
- 5. At all times material to this Complaint, defendant ERICA MCGLASTON ("MCGLASTON") was a police officer employed by the OAKLAND Police Department and committed the acts complained of herein while acting under color of law. Committing the acts of which the Plaintiff complains, defendant MCGLASTON exceeded the authority vested in her as an OAKLAND police officer and as

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES [CIVIL RIGHTS -- POLICE UNLAWFUL FORCE]

an employee of the CITY OF OAKLAND. MCGLASTON is being sued in both her official and individual capacities.

- 6. Plaintiff does not know the true names and capacities of defendants DOES 1 through 25, and sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believe and thereon alleges that each defendant so named is responsible in some manner for the injuries and damages suffered by plaintiff as described in this Complaint. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend her complaint to state the true names and capacities of defendants DOES 1 through 25 when they become known to her. In her references to "defendant" or "defendants" Plaintiff also refers to defendants DOES 1 through 25.
- 7. At all times mentioned herein, each defendant was the agent or employee of each of their co-defendants, and in doing the things alleged herein, were acting within the course and scope of such agency or employment, with the actual or implied permission, consent, authorization and approval of their co-defendants.

CLAIMS REQUIREMENT

8. Plaintiff is in compliance with the administrative claim requirement under California law pertaining to suits against public entities, with respect to pendent state claims.

FACTS GIVING RISE TO THE COMPLAINT

9. At about 1:00 a.m. on July 14, 2007, plaintiff left a party at a friend's house, located at 4240 Tarabella Way in Oakland, California, to retrieve a coat from her car. As she

walked down the street towards her car, she noticed a marked police patrol car parked along the curb. As she proceeded, she recognized the driver and sole occupant of the police car as defendant ERICA MCGLASTON. MCGLASTON was in full uniform and, as subsequently determined, on duty. NEWMAN was immediately concerned and apprehensive because she had recently terminated a friendship with MCGLASTON and knew her to have a volatile temperament with a propensity towards abusive behavior. As a result of that understanding, NEWMAN had maintained a policy of having no contact with MCGLASTON.

10. As NEWMAN approached the patrol car, MCGLASTON called out to her. NEWMAN continued walking towards her own car, at which point MCGLASTON went after her on foot. When MCGLASTON caught up to her, she grabbed and turned NEWMAN and punched her full in the face. When NEWMAN saw that officer McGlaston was reaching for the baton on her equipment belt she said "Please don't hit me with that, Erica". McGlaston briefly paused but as Newman attempted to walk away from McGlaston, heading back towards the house and safety, McGlaston caught up to her and struck her legs with her baton, causing Newman to fall to the ground. As Newman, crying, began to push herself up from the ground, McGlaston punched her in the mouth, splitting Newman's lip and causing blood to pour down her face.

11. NEWMAN's absence from the party had been noted and one of her friends went out to look for her, saw NEWMAN and went to help her to her feet. MCGLASTON returned to her patrol

27

28

car, drove up to NEWMAN and her friend, and traded words with NEWMAN's friend before driving away. NEWMAN cleaned her face in the bathroom in the front entryway of the house. She and her friend then left to obtain treatment for NEWMAN's injuries. Her friend called someone at the party, advising that NEWMAN had been accosted by MCGLASTON. Several persons at the party were Oakland police officers. When they heard that MCGLASTON had confronted NEWMAN on the street, and knowing MCGLASTON's violent, unstable personality and history of abusive behavior towards NEWMAN, were fearful that MCGLASTON had abducted NEWMAN and being out of control might actually murder NEWMAN. Two of these persons, an officer and a police lieutenant, initiated a search for MCGLASTON, and with the assistance of the OAKLAND police dispatcher, located McGlaston who was had responded to a call and was still at that location. They satisfied themselves that MCGLASTON had not taken NEWMAN from the incident site or knew of NEWMAN's current whereabouts. NEWMAN was later advised to obtain a restraining order to keep officer MCGLASTON away from her. MCGLASTON was terminated from the OAKLAND Police Department subsequent to an OAKLAND Police Department internal investigation and a referral was made to the Alameda County District Attorney for consideration of criminal prosecution.

DAMAGES

12. MCGLASTON's conduct constitutes sexual harassment and intimidation through force and violence. Her conduct, shocking to the conscience of the community, was an abuse of defendant's

5

authority, under color of law, to harass, intimidate, assault and batter Plaintiff, all in violation of her civil rights.

- 13. Plaintiff KENITRA NEWMAN was physically, emotionally and financially injured and damaged as a proximate result of defendant's conduct. Plaintiff has also suffered violation of her constitutional rights and the loss of her sense of security and dignity as a citizen and resident of the United States of America.
- 14. The conduct of defendant MCGLASTON was malicious, wanton and oppressive. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages against MCGLASTON.
- 15. Plaintiff found it necessary to engage the services of private counsel to vindicate her rights under the law and to reclaim that which was stripped away from her by MCGLASTON's physical and emotional battery. Plaintiff is entitled to the recovery of all attorney's fees and costs incurred in relation to this action.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(42 U.S.C. § 1983: VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHT TO SECURITY OF PERSON)

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 15, inclusive, as if the same were repeated and realleged at length and in full.
- 17. Defendant ERICA MCGLASTON acted under color of law in stalking and beating plaintiff without lawful justification,

 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES [CIVIL RIGHTS--POLICE UNLAWFUL FORCE] 6

subjecting NEWMAN to unlawful force, thereby depriving plaintiff of certain constitutionally protected rights, including, but not limited to:

- a. The right not to be deprived of life or liberty without due process of law, as guaranteed by the fifth and fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution;
- b. The right to be free from the use of excessive use of force by law enforcement officers, as guaranteed by the fourth, fifth and fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; and,
- c. The right to be free from preconviction punishment as guaranteed by the fourth, fifth and fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
- 18. As a proximate result of the foregoing wrongful acts of defendant, plaintiff has sustained, and will in the future sustain, pecuniary loss and other compensable injuries.
- 19. In doing the foregoing wrongful acts, defendant acted in reckless and callous disregard for the constitutional rights of plaintiff. The wrongful acts were willful, oppressive and malicious.

Wherefore, plaintiff prays judgment as hereinafter set forth.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Civil Rights under State of California law: Civil Code section 52.1)

20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 - 19, inclusive, as if the same were COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES [CIVIL RIGHTS--POLICE UNLAWFUL FORCE]

repeated and realleged at length and in full.

- 21. Defendants interfered with the constitutional rights of plaintiff as alleged, by means of intimidation, coercion and implicit threat.
- 22. Under the provisions of California Civil Code section 52(b), defendant MCGLASTON is liable for each and every offense for exemplary damages, for statutory penalties of \$25,000 in addition thereto, and for the payment of plaintiff's attorney fees, all in addition to her general damages.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Imprisonment)

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 22, inclusive, as if the same were repeated and realleged at length and in full.
- 24. Defendant restrained plaintiff and held her against her will, without probable cause to believe that plaintiff had committed an unlawful act, and without any right at all.
- 25. As a proximate result of the defendant's wrongful conduct, plaintiff suffered damages as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)

26. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations

set forth in paragraphs 1 - 25, inclusive, as if the same were repeated and realleged at length and in full.

- 27. The conduct of the defendant as set forth herein, was extreme and outrageous and not be tolerated in a democratic and civilized society. In order to deliberately injure plaintiff the defendant committed the referenced extreme and outrageous acts with the intent to inflict severe mental and emotional distress upon plaintiff.
- 28. As a proximate result of the defendant's wrongful conduct, plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Assault and Battery)

- 29. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 28, inclusive, as if the same were repeated and realleged at length and in full.
- 30. MCGLASTON made plaintiff fearful of immediate death and severe bodily harm by attacking and battering her without any just provocation or cause. MCGLASTON committed assault and battery against plaintiff by manhandling her, punching her in the face, striking her with an impact weapon (police baton) and through the use of verbal intimidation.
- 31. Defendant's conduct was neither privileged nor justified under statute or common law.
- 32. As a proximate result of the defendants' wrongful COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES [CIVIL RIGHTS--POLICE UNLAWFUL FORCE]

conduct, plaintiff suffered physical, emotional and financial injury and damage.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence)

- 33. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 32, inclusive, as if the same were repeated and realleged at length and in full.
- 34. At all times mentioned, defendants had a duty to plaintiff to exercise reasonable care in the performance of their duties as police officials.
- 35. Defendants failed to comply with said standard of reasonable care, proximately causing plaintiff to suffer injury and damage.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(42 U.S.C. § 1983 MUNICIPAL LIABILITY)

- 36. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 35, inclusive, as if the same were repeated and realleged at length and in full.
- 37. Defendant CITY OF OAKLAND has, at all times mentioned herein, had a mandatory duty of care to properly train, retain, supervise, investigate and discipline defendant police officers so as to avoid unreasonable risk of harm to citizens.

- 38. Defendant CITY OF OAKLAND, by and through its supervisory officials and employees, has been given notice of constitutional violations and practices by defendant MCGLASTON consisting of the use of unnecessary and excessive force and intimidation.
- 39. Despite this notice, defendant CITY OF OAKLAND has demonstrated indifference to MCGLASTON's conduct by failing to take necessary appropriate or adequate measures to prevent its continuation, thereby breaching its duty of care to citizens.
- 40. Defendants' failure to comply with said standard of reasonable care proximately caused plaintiff to suffer injury and damage.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Respondeat Superior Against CITY OF OAKLAND)

- 41. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 40, inclusive, as if the same were repeated and realleged at length and in full.
- 42. Defendant MCGLASTON committed the acts described above while acting within the course and scope of employment as a police officer for the CITY OF OAKLAND.
- 43. Defendant CITY OF OAKLAND is therefore liable under all causes of action set forth herein for the injuries and damages suffered by plaintiff under the doctrine of respondent superior.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- 1. For special damages according to proof;
- 2. For general damages in the amount of \$1,000,000;
- For punitive damages against ERICA MCGLASTON according to proof;
- 4. For exemplary damages and additional penalties in the amount of \$25,000 for every violation by defendant, and against plaintiff, of Civil Code section 52.1;
 - 5. For the payment of reasonable attorney fees;
 - 6. For costs of suit; and
- 7. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: January 16, 2007 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS

John L. Burris, Esq.
Attorney for plaintiff
KENITRA RAE NEWMAN